

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/769,923	OH, KWANG-HO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DeWanda Samuel	2616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DeWanda Samuel.

(3) Matt Lestina.

(2) Ricky Ngo.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yoon et al. ( US Patent 7,006,504) and Shiota ( US Patent 6,987,762).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative specifically pointed out the extension tag , the previously-set internal channel and channel identifier and disagrees that in combination Yoon et al. and Shiota teaches these limitations. Applicant's representative explained the functionality and attributes of the extension tag , the previously-set internal channel and channel identifier. The applicant's representative was asked to rewrite the extension tag limitation and clearly state the characteristics. Also, the recited limitation previously-set internal channel and channel identifier needed to be further defined. Applicant's representative submitted a drafted amendment on 11/19/2007<sup>4</sup> all changes were included and are excepted. However, a further search will be conducted due to the more specific limitations submitted.